

Questions re: GPCO revocation process

Sent by RGV December 28, 2017

The reason for purging Carolyn Bninski is stated in the proposal as follows:

>>>> 4. Justification/Goals: Carolyn Bninski is an original signer of the
>>>> "Restore Green Values" grievance submitted to the GPUS Accreditation
>>>> Committee on June 14, 2017.

The official justification given is that Carolyn is an original signer of the RGV complaint. This is true. But the AC has decided that signing the RGV complaint is not sufficient justification for banning.

There is an additional justification that she did not use the "longstanding democratic process of submitting a proposal to the council according to established procedures, as the remedy established in the GPCO." There is no such remedy established for serious complaints,

Carolyn is being offered "restorative justice" and immediate reinstatement. I am unaware of a restorative justice process in GPCO. I have not seen any mention of this in proposals that have been forwarded to me by people who are still able to access the Forum.