

TABLES OF CONTENTS

Accreditation Committee Docs relating to the Grievance filed against the Green Party of Colorado, June 13, 2017

Folder 0

0.1 Timeline of AC work and events from June 13 2017 to August 10, 2017

0.2 Chronology of Recalls and Expulsions from the GPCO - 2_09_2018

Folder 1 - Grievance

TTOC:

1.1 Grievance filed against the Green Party of Colorado (GPCO, or Respondents) by group of Colorado Greens calling themselves “Colorado Committee to Restore Green Values” (RGV) 6_13_2017

- Links to documentation provided by RGV are included in the form

1.2 Links to documentation folders, requested in September of 2017, by GPUS SC

1.3 Notes from AC Conference Call with representatives of the GPCO/Respondents

1.4 RGV responses to AC questions

1.5 Letter from Respondents, July 24 ,2017

1.5.a Letter from Respondents/GPCO to AC, July 25, 2017

1.6 Response from AC to Respondents

1.A An Introduction to the Accreditation Committee’s First Report and Response to the Complaint

1.B Accreditation Committee’s First Report and Response to the Restore Green Values Complaint, July 14, 2017

This includes:

The Colorado Committee Restore Green Values Grievance, which includes a link to their documentation

Introduction, and First Report & Response from the AC, July 14, 2017

Notes from a call between the AC and members of the Green Party of Colorado, or “Respondents,” clarifying current AC position

Response from GPCO/Respondents

Message from AC to Respondents clarifying AC's current position

Folder 2 - Bylaws

TOC:

2. A - GPCO Bylaws

 GPCO Bylaws, current

 GPCO Bylaws, August 2015

2.1 List of alleged violations of GPCO state bylaws

2.2 List of alleged violations of GPCO Procedures and Guidelines

2.3 List of further alleged violations of GPCO Procedures and Guidelines

2.4 Treasurer's Report concern, August 12, 2017

2.5 Officer Positions - Questions 12_2017

2.6 Reports from Green Party of Colorado State Meeting, August 12, 2017

 2.6.a Screenshot of GPCO State Meeting Agenda, August 10, 2017

 2.6.b Reports submitted by RGV members of GPCO state meeting, August 12-13, 2017

 Confirmed allegations of bylaws violations re: lack of actual treasury report; failure to announce all GPCO offer positions for election; failure to include one or more mandated agenda items

 Concern regarding attempt to switch officer positions outside of official process

 Allegation that GPCO co-chair had been acting as national alternate delegate without informing entire state party

 2.6.c GPCO-RGV Communications re Proposals 8_10_2017

Folder 3 - Communications

TOC:

3.1 Communications Documentation

 3.1.a Explanation of 3.1.b - GPCO Forum Info 8_25_2017

 3.1. b Centralization of control of communications, submitted by RGV 8_26_2017

3.2 Account from creator of GPCO state forum

3.3 Communications blocked

3.3.a Intro to account of blocked communications

3.3.b Instances of blocked communications

3.3.c Example: comment on removal of forum content

3.3.d Information sought on forum access revocation

3.4 County chapter attempt to have delegate added to forum 3_11_2018

Folder 4 - Dispute Resolution

On August 31, 2017, due to escalation of concerns noted in the AC report of July, 2017, the AC requested that RGV and Respondents engage in a dispute resolution process conducted by the national GPUS Dispute Resolution Committee (DRC). Initially, both parties objected. Respondents stated they would engage only if the complaint were dropped, and other conditions which were rejected by the DRC. The RGV eventually agreed to mediation. Respondents stated they needed two weeks for a proposal to vote on the matter; after two weeks, another week was requested. After that week, either nothing more was heard, or the DRC received a negative reply. A screenshot of the GPCO state committee forum during that time period involved shows that no proposal regarding mediation was submitted.

In the interest of clarity, documents are included that show the progress of some of the DRC's work and reactions from both members of the GPCO/Respondents and RGV. At the time of the AC's initial report (July 14, 2017), Respondents complained that the RGV should have taken up an internal grievance process, and later complained that the national DRC was non-functional. Documents 4.2, 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5 established that the DRC was functional and operating; and Item 4.7 confirmed that there was no internal dispute resolution process in GPCO at the time the grievance was filed.

TOC:

4.1 Accreditation Committee Formal Request for Dispute Resolution 8_31_2017

4.2 Dispute Resolution Committee (DRC) Intake Report and Recommendations
10_01_2017

[NOTE: AC members found this review sloppy and inaccurate; for instance, NO ONE mentioned anything about "the recount." Further review followed up by DRC co-chairs and other members of that committee proved more competent.]

4.3 RGV Acceptance of DRC meditation

4.4 RGV Objection to extending the deadline for all parties to accept DRC mediation

4.5 RGV Acceptance of DRC mediation without conditions

4.6 GPCO (Respondents) asked for time for a proposal to discuss and come to a decision [*sometime between October 1st and 9th*]; no such proposal was made during the time in which Respondents were supposed to be considering the matter

4.7 Proposal, dated August 11, 2017, established that there was not an internal dispute resolution process in GPCO at the time the grievance was filed with the GPUS AC

Folder 5 - Revocations

TOC:

5.1 Chronology of Recalls and Expulsions (copy of item 0.2)

[*NOTE: AS with the terms disaffiliation/dis-accreditation, more than one term have been applied here. What is being revoked or banned is participation from activities of the GPCO.*]

5.2 Revocation - Harry Hempy 8_04_2017

5.2.a Revocation of Rights of Participation 7_12_2017

5.2.b Revocation of Rights of Participation 7_27_2017

5.2.c Hempy respond to proposals 8_03_2017

5.2.d Hempy Letter to AC 8_07_2017

5.3 Disciplinary Action for Judy Harrington

5.3.a Harrington Action notification _7_13-2017

5.3.a.1 Harrington Action - clarifying notification 7_9_2017

5.3.a.2 5.4.a.2 Harrington Disciplinary Action 7_19_2017

5.3.b screenshots of disciplinary action notice 7_12_2017

5.3.c Harrington Action -question of post-decision bylaws update 7_24_2017

5.4 Revocations of Rights of Participation 12_20_2017

5.4.a GPCO_Expulsion_Emails 12_27_2017

5.4.b Revocation_notice_Bninski 12_28_2017

5.4.c Revocation_Bninski_questions 12_28_2017

5.5. Revocation - Kevin Alumbaugh 1_11_2018

5.5.a Screen Shot of 12_27_2018 Proposal

5.5.b Screen Shot - Alumbaugh Response 1_11_2018

5.6 Revocations Proposals screenshot 1_03_2018

Accreditation Subcommittee Report and Response, August 23, 2018

Addendum to Grievance - Ethics

The AC subcommittee received an Addendum to the Grievance in October, 2017, regarding a matter of campaign finance disclosure. The subcommittee considered this an important concern, but one which involved the behavior of an individual and not necessarily reflective of the state party as a whole.